

DOCTORAL PROGRAMME

A STUDY OF THE GUARDIAN VENDOR ROLE IN IT MULTISOURCING

By

Bhavya Peapully Shroff

A Dissertation submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Doctoral Programme of the

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT BANGALORE

2021

Prof. Haritha Saranga Chairperson Doctoral Programme **Prof. Rajendra K Bandi** Chairperson Dissertation Advisory Committee Members of the Dissertation Advisory Committee

1. Prof. Rajendra K BandiChairperson2. Prof. Rahul De'Member3. Prof. Abhoy K OjhaMember

Dedicated to my late Father, P S V Narayana Babu, Mother, P S Uma Devi and Sister, P S Kavya.

My PhD journey would not have been possible without their love and support.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my dissertation advisory committee, for guiding me through this long dissertation journey and ensuring a smooth defense even amid the pandemic. My dissertation would not be possible without their constructive and thought-provoking feedback and comments throughout my journey. They have helped me learn and grow to be a researcher.

I would like to thank Prof. Rajendra K Bandi for firstly leading me into pursuing the Guardian model as my topic. He has been a great advisor and a tremendous mentor to me all through. He has always nurtured and nudged me to push my limits and adhere to high standards. He has also allowed me the flexibility to situate my ideas in reference disciplines and bring in the required modifications in the study design from time-to-time. I am forever grateful to him for the countless discussions on the dissertation research - including in the formative days, for being very patient with me all through to the end, and helping keep up my enthusiasm. He has always encouraged me to be open to critique, seek feedback from multiple sources and sharpen my study.

I am extremely grateful to Prof. Rahul De for his expert guidance. He has significantly motivated my interest in pursuing qualitative research right from my coursework days. His exceptional advice on my topic and methods at various stages of my dissertation, has helped me both identify and address the gaps in my epistemology, data gathering strategy and overall research design. His valuable feedback has helped bring more sharpness in my writing, flesh out the core findings of the study and bring clarity to my arguments. These have tremendously improved the overall quality of my dissertation.

I am highly grateful to Prof. Abhoy K Ojha for his guidance on the choice of agency theory as the foundation for my study. Our initial discussions were very instrumental for me to visualize and appreciate the value that the agency view could bring in for this research. Using agency theory has helped provide a more precise problem formulation for multisourcing governance and has opened up the possibilities for more significant contributions from this study.

I am also very grateful to the current Doctoral program chair, Prof. Harita Saranga, and the past Chair, Prof. Rejie George Pallathitta, for being considerate and extending tremendous support during my PhD. My thanks to Ms. Ashoka Nag, Ms. Anjali (Retd. executive, FPM office), Ms. Shilpa Puttaramu, Mr. Srinath and Mr. Shankarappa, of the doctoral program office, for their considerate support all through my PhD. I also thank the library staff and computer centre staff for their offline and online support even during the challenging pandemic times.

I am immensely grateful to all my friends who have been a source of great strength to me all through my PhD journey. My heartfelt thanks to Jyoti who has been a great friend, critique and a patient listener and reviewer for much of my work. I am very fortunate to have met my dear friends Ravi and Neena, who have always been there to help me out, be it reviewing my research proposal, helping with industry connects, and being part of many other discussions till my dissertation completion. Many thanks to my dearest friend Abhipsa, who has always allayed my fears, lifted my spirits in any difficult situation, and shared so many of her learnings with me, both about life and research. Thanks to all the IS area PhD students for keeping this journey lively. My thanks to all PhD seniors and peers, Ravi, Laxmi, Lewin, Krishnan, Anurag, Vivek and many more who have been a source of constant inspiration.

I would also like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Mr. Venkatesh Balakrishnan, Manager, CSITM, IIM Bangalore, for his generous help in connecting with innumerable industry connects for my dissertation research. He has also spared his valuable time in discussing his own experiences about IT multisourcing. My sincere thanks to Mr. D Suresh Kumar, ex-Manager, SCMC, IIM Bangalore, for going out of his way and helping me with industry contacts during the pandemic. I am forever grateful to Mr. Balakrishnan and Mr. Kumar for their generosity. I would like to express my gratitude to Vivek, Mr. Arman Saleh, Dr. Pallabh Saha, Ms. Arati Mekeraj and many industry experts, whose names I cannot disclose due to confidentiality, for their direct or indirect help with this research. I am very grateful to them for sparing their time and insights despite their busy schedule amid the pandemic.

The pandemic has given me new friends, and I have rejoiced in their friendship despite being 'at a distance'. I am very thankful to Anupama for bringing in so much positivity with her lively conversations. IIMB has given me the opportunity to meet so many bright and resourceful PhD students, whose enthusiasm is highly infectious and inspirational.

I thank my sweetest childhood friends Sowmya, Kiranmai, Sree Lakshmi, Ramya and the SPARKS for believing in my abilities even in the toughest of times, keeping alive the fun and celebration in my life, and pushing me to succeed in this PhD journey. Their unsullied love and friendship keep me grounded.

It is my family's persistent encouragement, nurturing and their faith in my abilities, which have helped me overcome many huge obstacles in my PhD journey. I am filled with gratitude to God for blessing me to be part of their lives, and I hope to pay forward the love, kindness and compassion that I have received in my life. My gratitude to God and all my Gurus.

Contents

A	BSTRACT		14
1	INTRODU	JCTION	16
2	LITERATI	JRE REVIEW	20
	2.2 IT N	IULTISOURCING (ITM)	
	2.2.1	ITM Characteristics	
	2.2.1.1		
	2.2.1.2		
	2.2.1.3		
	2.2.1.4		
	2.2.2	ITM Models	
	2.2.3	Risks and Challenges in ITM	
	2.2.4	Approaches to managing ITM Challenges	29
	2.2.5	Summary	
	2.3 GUA	RDIAN MODEL IN ITM	31
	2.3.1	Other Related Contexts	
	2.3.2	Select Practitioner Literature	
	2.3.3	Critique	
	2.4 Тнес	DRETICAL BACKGROUND	
	2.4.1	Governance in IT Outsourcing: A Brief Overview	
	2.4.2	Decision Rights	42
	2.4.2.1	Knowledge as a key determinant of Delegation	43
	2.4.2.2		
	2.4.2.3	Overcoming the Agency Problems of Delegation	45
	2.4.2.4	The Role of Contracts	46
	2.4.3	Decision Rights in IS Literature	47
	2.4.3.1	Decision domains	47
	2.4.3.2	Decision Rights categories	48
	2.4.3.3	Determinants of DR allocation	49
	2.4.3.4	Implications of DR allocation	49
	2.4.3.5	Limitations in current DR literature	50
	2.5 CON	CEPTUALIZING THE GUARDIAN MODEL	51
	2.5.1	Governance of IT Multisourcing	51

2.5.2	2 The Guardian Model and Decision Rights	
2.5	5.2.1 Task structure	53
2.5	5.2.2 Knowledge requirements	54
2.5	5.2.3 Agency Concerns	54
2.5	5.2.4 Decision Rights of the Guardian	54
3 RESE	ARCH METHODOLOGY	57
3.1	DRAWING FROM BOTH ACADEMIC AND PRACTICE KNOWLEDGE	57
3.2	TWO-STAGE QUALITATIVE RESEARCH DESIGN	58
4 STAC	GE 1: DECISION RIGHTS DELEGATION TO THE GUARDIAN	61
4.1	DATA GATHERING	61
4.1.1	Problem Formulation	65
4.2	Data Coding	68
4.3	Analysis and Findings	69
4.3.1	Basic Perceptions about the Guardian Role	
4.3.2	Motivations for Adopting the Guardian Model	71
4.3.3	8 Key Activities of the Guardian	72
4.3.4	Constitution Desiries Diskte Delegation to the Constitution	80
4.5.4	Core Theme: Decision Rights Delegation to the Guardian	
	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL	
5 STAC		
5 STAC	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL	84
5 STAC 5.1	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source	84 84
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.1	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source Case selection	84
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.2 5.1.2 5.1.3	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source Case selection Addressing validity and reliability	84 84 84 85 85 87
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.2 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source Case selection	84 84 84 85 85 87 88
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.2 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY. Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source. Case selection. Case selection. Addressing validity and reliability. CASE: VIRGINIA IT AUTHORITY (VITA) - SAIC. 2.1.1 General Obligations of the Guardian Vendor	84 84 84 85 85 87 88 88 89
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2 5.2 5.2.2	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY. Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source. Case selection. Case selection. Addressing validity and reliability. CASE: VIRGINIA IT AUTHORITY (VITA) - SAIC. 2.1.1 General Obligations of the Guardian Vendor	84 84 84 85 85 87 88 88 89 89
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2 5.2 5.2.2	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY. Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source. Case selection. Case selection. Addressing validity and reliability. CASE: VIRGINIA IT AUTHORITY (VITA) - SAIC. 2.1.1 General Obligations of the Guardian Vendor Service Scope of the Guardian. 2.2.1 IS Management/ Maintenance Services	84
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2.3	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY. Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source. Case selection. Case selection. Addressing validity and reliability. CASE: VIRGINIA IT AUTHORITY (VITA) - SAIC. 2.1.1 General Obligations of the Guardian Vendor Service Scope of the Guardian. 2.2.1 IS Management/ Maintenance Services	84 84 84 85 87 88 88 89
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY. Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source. Case selection. Addressing validity and reliability. CASE: VIRGINIA IT AUTHORITY (VITA) - SAIC 2.1.1 General Obligations of the Guardian Vendor Service Scope of the Guardian. 2.2.1 IS Management/ Maintenance Services Within Case Analysis - Governance and Decision Rights	84 84 84 85 87 88 89 89 90 90 93 93
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY. Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source. Case selection. Case selection. Addressing validity and reliability. CASE: VIRGINIA IT AUTHORITY (VITA) - SAIC. Particle Scope of the Guardian Vendor Service Scope of the Guardian. Particle Scope Scope of the Guardian. Particle Scope	84 84 84 85 87 88 89 89 90 90 93 93 93
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY. Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source. Case selection. Case selection. Addressing validity and reliability. CASE: VIRGINIA IT AUTHORITY (VITA) - SAIC. 2.1.1 General Obligations of the Guardian Vendor Service Scope of the Guardian. 2.2.1 IS Management/ Maintenance Services Within Case Analysis - Governance and Decision Rights 2.3.1 Third-party Vendor Contracts 2.3.2 Day-to-day and Service Management. 2.3.3 Overall Governance.	84 84 84 85 87 88 88 89 90 90 93 93 93 94 102
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY. Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source. Case selection. Case selection. Addressing validity and reliability. CASE: VIRGINIA IT AUTHORITY (VITA) - SAIC. 2.1.1 General Obligations of the Guardian Vendor Service Scope of the Guardian. 2.2.1 IS Management/ Maintenance Services Within Case Analysis - Governance and Decision Rights 2.3.1 Third-party Vendor Contracts 2.3.2 Day-to-day and Service Management. 2.3.3 Overall Governance.	
5 STAC 5.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2	GE 2: FORMAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE GUARDIAN MODEL METHODOLOGY: CASE STUDY. Case boundary: Using IT Multisourcing contracts as the data source. Case selection. Addressing validity and reliability. CASE: VIRGINIA IT AUTHORITY (VITA) - SAIC. 2.1.1 General Obligations of the Guardian Vendor 2.2.1 IS Management/ Maintenance Services . 2.2.1 IS Management/ Maintenance Services . 2.3.1 Third-party Vendor Contracts . 2.3.2 Day-to-day and Service Management. 2.3.3 Overall Governance. IF Findings . CASE: ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS - COGNIZANT.	

5.3.2.1 Transition	
5.3.2.2 IS Management/ Maintenance Services	114
5.3.2.3 IS Development/ Transformation Services	118
5.3.3 Within Case Analysis - Governance and Decision Rights	
5.3.3.1 Third-party Vendor Contracts	121
5.3.3.2 Day-to-day Management and Overall Governance	122
5.3.4 Findings	130
5.4 CASE: TEXAS DIR - CAPGEMINI	135
5.4.1 General Obligations of the Guardian	
5.4.2 Service Scope of Guardian	
5.4.2.1 IS Management	137
5.4.2.2 IS Development	143
5.4.3 Within Case Analysis - Governance and Decision Rights	143
5.4.3.1 Third-party Vendor Contracts	143
5.4.3.2 Day-to-day and Service Management	144
5.4.3.3 Overall Governance	149
5.4.4 Findings	
5.5 CROSS-CASE ANALYSIS	156
6 DISCUSSION	
7 CONCLUSION	167
8 REFERENCES	172
9 APPENDIX A: INTERVIEW GUIDE	
9.1 INTRODUCTION TO THE INTERVIEW PROCESS	
9.2 Planned Agenda for the interview	
9.3 The Interview	
9.3.1 General Information about the ITM setting	
9.3.2 Interviewee background	
9.3.3 About Guardian vendor role	
10 APPENDIX B: CODEBOOK	
10.1 THE CONTRACT CODING PROCESS	
10.1.1 Data Corpus for case studies	
10.2 Coding Manual with Sample coding	
10.2.1 Decision Domains	

10.2.2	Decision Rights	191
10.2.3	Contractual clauses	192
10.2.4	Objectives/ efficiency and effectiveness, IT outsourcing outcomes	193
10.2.5	IT service management (ITSM) and ITIL processes	196

List of Tables in this document

Table 2-1: Literature review in the Current study	
Table 2-2: Literature Overview of the Guardian Model	
Table 2-3: Key conceptualizations of IT outsourcing Governance	
Table 4-1: Interviewee Information	
Table 4-2: Problem Formulation - Summary	
Table 4-3: Key activities of the Guardian - A summary	
Table 4-4: Delegation of Decision rights to Guardian: Patterns in IS development and IS ma	-
Table 5-1: Overview of Cases	
Table 5-2:Multiple case study - Validity and Transparency Considerations (based on p Eisenhardt (1989b), Paré (2004) and Yin (2014))	-
Table 5-3: VITA's Multisourcing Service Integration (MSI) Model (synthesis from Exhibits 2 of MSA)	
Table 5-4: VITA MSI Model - Decision Rights	
Table 5-5: VITA - Relational Governance Forums	
Table 5-6: VITA - Operational Governance Forums	
Table 5-7: VITA -SAIC: Conceptual Mapping	
Table 5-8: Objectives of Transition	
Table 5-9 Transformation-related services and Objectives	
Table 5-10: Cross-Functional Services and objectives	
Table 5-11: Aspen - Service towers and Objectives	
Table 5-12: Change Management Services and Objectives	
Table 5-13: Decision Rights Allocation for Transition and Transformation	
Table 5-14: Decision rights allocation for cross-functional services	
Table 5-15 Decision Rights Allocation for Service Towers	
Table 5-16: Decision Rights Allocation for Change Management Services	
Table 5-17 Governance Forums and their Agenda	
Table 5-18 Aspen-Cognizant: Conceptual Mapping	131

Table 5-19: Texas DIR STS Cross-Functional Services - Overview of Roles (Adapted from	Exhibit 2.1,
3.4 of MSA)	
Table 5-20 Texas DIR - Decision Rights for STS Cross-Functional Services	144
Table 5-21: Texas DIR - Governance Forums and their Agenda	151
Table 5-22 Texas DIR - Capgemini: Conceptual Mapping	153
Table 5-23: Cross-case analysis on various dimensions	156
Table 6-1: Synopsis of Stage-1 and Stage-2 research findings	161
Table 7-1: Key Contributions of the Dissertation	

List of Figures in this Document

Figure 2-1: ITM Multisourcing Models	27
Figure 2-2: A generic illustration of the Guardian Model	32
Figure 2-3: Examples of the Guardian Model	33
Figure 2-4: Mediated model in Offshoring context (adapted from Jarvenpaa and Mao (2008)).	35
Figure 2-5: Basic tenets of Decision Rights delegation (based on Fama and Jensen (1983), Jen	nsen and
Meckling (1992))	42
Figure 2-6: Conceptual Model of Guardian's Core Activities in terms of Decision Rights	53
Figure 3-1: Overview of Research Stages	59
Figure 4-1: Research Approach for Stage-1	61
Figure 5-1: VITA's multi-vendor IT services environment	89
Figure 5-2: VITA- Governance Model (Adapted from Exhibit 1.2 of MSA)	102
Figure 5-3: Aspen - Service Scope of Guardian	113
Figure 5-4: Aspen - Guardian's Involvement in ITM Governance (Author's interpretation)	122
Figure 5-5: Texas DIR Shared Technology Services Model	137
Figure 5-6: Texas DIR - Overall Governance Committees and Solution groups	150

ITM	IT Multisourcing
DR	Decision rights
DMR	Decision management rights
DCR	Decision control rights
DIR	Decision input rights
MSA	Master Services Agreement
SOW	Statement of Work
SLA	Service Level Agreement
OLA	Operating Level Agreement
MSI	Multisourcing Service Integrator
SIAM	Service integration and Management
ITIL	Information Technology Infrastructure Library
VITA	Virginia Information Technology Agency
Texas DIR	Texas Department of Information Resources

Key Acronyms in this Dissertation

Abstract

The current dissertation is motivated by the problems persistent in IT multisourcing governance. IT multisourcing (ITM) refers to the provisioning of IT services to a client by multiple IT vendors wherein interdependencies exist among the vendors with respect to their tasks and service delivery. ITM has become a dominant trend in the recent times owing to its several perceived benefits over singlesourcing. The current industry thrust on digital transformation and cloud technologies also appears to be fuelling the ITM trend. However, the complexity of ITM owing to vendor interdependence leads to significant challenges such as difficulty in ensuring collaboration among competing vendors, lack of accountability in overall service delivery, increased moral hazards and difficulty in their detection, and finger-pointing among vendors. Governance is deemed crucial to deal with the challenges of ITM and to ensure smooth delivery of end-to-end services. The practical challenges of IT multisourcing (ITM) have prompted the client organizations to adopt the Guardian model, wherein a vendor (the 'Guardian') takes over the responsibility of managing the multiple vendors. Literature broadly refers to the Guardian's role in ITM governance, yet the Guardian's role is under-theorized. The practical manifestation of the Guardian's role, the core activities, and the influence of the Guardian is a black box. The debate in the literature on the Guardian's role as well as on the implications for joint-vendor performance drive the need for an investigation of the core activities of the Guardian vendor. The dissertation addresses the following research question: What is the role(s) of Guardian vendor in ITM governance? Which conditions influence the delegation of this role(s) to the Guardian? The dissertation redefines the ITM governance problem as the problem of decision rights allocation and control. It provides a novel conceptualization of the Guardian's core activities as the exercise of 'decision rights' related to contractual and ex-post governance in ITM. The dissertation follows a two-stage qualitative research design. In stage-1, in-depth exploratory interviews of the key stakeholders of the Guardian model across multiple ITM engagements are carried out to draw from practical knowledge. Findings pertain to the patterns of delegation of decision rights to the Guardian in terms of the decision domains and categories of decision rights, which showed differences in IS development and IS maintenance contexts. The stage-2 research follows a multiple case study design. Overall findings reveal the core activities of the Guardian across multiple decision domains related to strategy, business, contract, process, technology and task. Further, differences have been identified in the patterns of decision rights allocation in terms of the categories of rights (decision control, decision management and decision input rights) with respect to different decision domains and in different hierarchical levels in ITM governance. The study provides explanations for delegation and the different patterns of delegation, and contributes to the decision rights as well as the overall ITM governance literatures. Key implications for practitioners are also identified. The framework of decision rights and decision domains for ITM governance, can be used as a tool to identify areas amenable to the Guardian's involvement. Practitioners must assess these areas from the viewpoint of knowledge-agency trade-offs, and the

coordination and specific knowledge requirements to consider delegation. The study also offers guidelines for monitoring and controlling the Guardian's activities under non-optimal delegation to the Guardian.

Keywords: IT outsourcing, IT Multisourcing, Guardian vendor model, governance, contracts, decision rights, delegation