

Budget 2015 – Can it restore faith in Markets ?



Contrary to popular belief, Indians have been saving more post liberalisation.

In an unprecedented move, the global rating agency Standard & Poor downgraded the US Government's "AAA" sovereign credit rating to "AA+" while keeping the outlook negative. That was in August 2011.

That in turn triggered massive convulsions in financial markets across countries, including India. And pray why not? After all, the US Government securities is the mother of all benchmarks for global financial markets.

Our Government's reaction to this was on predictable lines. Remember the propensity of the Indian establishment to commit sati for any negative development in US. Terming the situation as "grave" the then Finance Minister [FM] Pranab Mukherjee commented: "There are difficulties and some sort of a crisis. But there is no need to press the panic button."



Crucially he added: "I do not want to worry unnecessarily. Our growth story is intact and our fundamentals are strong."

The US downgrade is merely a context. Come any crisis, war or natural; economic or political, Indian economy has been by and large insulated from such negative developments. And at every turn men at the helm of national affairs have been unanimous in their conclusion – Indian economy is largely insulated from such crisis; the fundamentals of Indian economy are strong and by and large the Indian growth story is intact.



Are all these empty rhetoric? Or do these reflect undebated aspects of Indian economy? If the economic fundamentals of our economy are indeed strong why then express concern in the first place? Why national consternation when the stock markets gyrate on such negative developments?

Importantly, why successive FMs express concern while simultaneously exude confidence in Indian economy.

Economic liberalisation and conservative Indian

The answer to this paradox is not far to seek. It may be interesting to note that liberalisation of the Indian economy since the early 1990's has not liberalised the purse of an average Indian. In fact, if the average national saving rate is any indication, India has achieved a higher savings rate post liberalisation than during the pre-liberalisation era.

If the average savings rate was a mere 17 per cent of the GDP in the eighties, the savings rate has doubled to approximately 31 per cent of the GDP now. Globally, barring China [where the savings rate is approximately 50 per cent] no other country of significant size has a savings rate higher than that of India. Notably, this domestic savings virtually funds our domestic investments.

It is in this connection that the Report of the "High Level Committee on Estimation of Saving and Investment" set up by the Ministry of Statistics and Program Implementation under the chairmanship of Dr. C Rangarajan concludes: "More than 98.0 per cent of investment is funded by domestic savings. As a result, the savings-investment gap has remained relatively in a narrow range reflective of modest reliance on foreign savings."

No wonder, it is this domestic savings that goes on to provide the necessary confidence to successive FMs, whenever confronted with a "global" economic crisis. But why then express concern?

It may be interesting for a reader to note that approximately three-fourths of this gargantuan saving comes from Indian households – i.e. ordinary Indian like you and me. Needless to say that the balance one-fourth of our national savings comes from the private corporate sector and public sector put together.

Yet not many within the establishment cognise, much less recognise, the fundamental role of savings in the economic development of the nation and that too savings that arise from our household.

Importantly, what is forgotten in the melee is that contrary to popular belief Indians have paradoxically begun to save more post liberalisation than before. A liberalised economy and a conservative Indian? Has liberalisation offered more avenues to save than spend to an Indian? Or is the entire liberalisation program failing and hence causing convulsions in our saving pattern?

Answer to these questions is not far to seek. Alan Greenspan, the former US Federal Reserve Chairman, in his celebrated book Age of Turbulence observes that savings is a sign of underdevelopment and insecurity. He concludes that a developed financial market and improved governance obliterates the necessity for domestic savings, especially by households.

Does that imply the obvious? Is there a message for the incumbent FM? Is an average Indian chary of liberalisation process? Has liberalisation failed to deliver?

Predatory Government; Smarter Indian

There is entirely yet another dimension to this issue. A huge domestic yet fragmented saving pattern offers a perfect hunting spot for the insatiable appetite for [cheap] funds for our governments and avaricious corporates.

Professor R Vaidyanathan of IIM Bangalore terms the approach of our government "predatory" in his celebrated work "India Uninc." The approach of our corporate sector is no less despicable. Surely, on this there is a convergence between our governments and large corporates.

In the process, the correlation between benign interest rates and elevated inflation is forgotten. Naturally, this disconnect between the two represents a challenge to our household that is on a compulsive savings mode.

Ideally, lower interest rates should shift future consumption to the present [where corporates benefit] and simultaneously moves savings from bank deposits to stock markets [which once again benefits corporates] apart from the fact that a benign interest rate regime favours governmental borrowing. That explains the clamour for a lower interest rate regime.

Yet it is fascinating to note household savings dominate national savings. For instance, household savings was estimated to be 21% even as the national savings was 31% of the GDP for 2012-13. Further, approximately two-thirds of the domestic household savings are in physical form and the balance in financial form. [Source-Economic Survey 2013-14] thus rendering interest rates irrelevant.

Savings in physical form includes mostly investment in houses and land. Interestingly in macroeconomic computations, gold is excluded from savings and included along with consumption. And should gold be included as part of domestic savings our domestic household savings will naturally increase, albeit in physical form.

All these imply financial savings [where interest rates are relevant] of household to be a mere 7 or 8 per cent of our GDP. Captivatingly, 90 per cent of our household financial savings are held as bank deposits, insurance and provident fund claims.

The balance 10 per cent of our household financial savings is held as cash on hand and believe it or not – with virtually miniscule investment into stock and debt markets. This is notwithstanding the tax breaks to corporates and to individuals on investments into stock markets along with exemption from capital gains tax. Some experts opine that less than a percent of our GDP flows into our stock markets. Yet the hoopla surrounding the stock markets. Inexplicable, isn't it?

But what happens to our Bank deposits? Readers may be aware that our Governments [both State and Central] run huge deficits. As per the RBI, their combined deficit works out to 6.8 per cent for

2013-14. Simply put, we deposit money into our banks who in turn lend to our Governments at ridiculously low rate. Therefore, it is the prudence of the ordinary Indian that to a substantial level funds deficits – read recklessness – of our Governments.

In the process, there is virtually not much lending left for businesses. And whatever is left, thanks to its size and persuasive powers, large corporates have bulldozed themselves into having the first right [again at lower interest rates] leaving small and medium enterprises starved of funds.

And this is at the root of our economic problems and substantially if not wholly explains our demand for foreign funds.

What adds to the consternation of the Indian economy is that as interest rates are lowered, the smart Indian saver leans more towards physical savings, including gold. Savings in gold has two major implications: One, it is a no-confidence in Indian economy and two, it adds to our current account deficits and therefore leaves an already vulnerable Balance of Payment position, more vulnerable.

Obviously, a lower interest rate regime makes the average Indian shift towards gold. That means Indians are not investing in India but indirectly abroad. This raises an important question – why should foreigners invest in India when Indians are not investing here. Is not investment in gold a great barometer for confidence in Indian economy expressed by an average Indian?

In short, it is an unenviable situation for the FM. Domestically we save significantly, but not in financial form. The financial intermediation or the process of converting physical savings into financial savings is either too weak or absent.

As corporates seek lower interest rates and in the process hope more money will pour into stock markets or boost current sales, they are plainly wrong. Given their unimpressive track record at a collective level, it is not too soon to believe that Indians will invest into stock markers. Likewise in the absence of social security Indians will continue to save. And in the absence of financial intermediation savings will be only in physical form.

n short, savings, investment and intermediation between the two present a complex conundrum for our FM. Will Budget 2015 provide answers to these questions?

(The author is a Chartered Accountant. Comments can be made at mrv@mrv.net.in)



Disclaimer: Opinions expressed in this article are the author's personal opinions. Information, facts or opinions shared by the Author do not reflect the views of Niti Central and Niti Central is not responsible or liable for the same. The Author is responsible for accuracy, completeness, suitability and validity of any information in this article.

