## ESSAYS ON URBAN CHANGE :EXPLORING THE ROLE OF POLITICS AND POLICY

## ALTHAF S.

## **Abstract**

About one-third of the Indian population now lives in urban areas. India's serviceledgrowth has resulted in moving more people to its cities. The informal labourforce which arrives to support the urban economy finds shelter in the slum settlements. Such an urban change has the potential to shift the social, economic andpolitical trajectory of the country. The democratic and political processes will undergoa shift with this new geographic pattern. There are three critical problemswhich this urban change is bringing about: crimes, hygiene and urban amenities. I try to study each of them separately in this thesis. The link between urbanizationand crime is well established (Kanbur and Zhuang [2013], Bourguignon [2000] and Fisher [1987]). My first chapter explores this largely urban phenomenon: theincrease in criminal activity and accounts for the role of political competition, poverty and urbanization in this. In the second chapter, I explore whether publicprograms which address settlement level sanitation conditions in the towns couldfill the hygiene gap. In the third chapter, I try to understand how governments are catching up with the rapid urbanization and managing to provide urban amenities for the growing number of urban citizens. The first chapter explores the links between elections, political competition and rimes. Anecdotal evidence suggests that elections in India are immediately preceded by periods of increased criminal activities. This is not a surprise given the extent of criminalization of Indian politics. In this paper, I test the link between criminal activities and electoral cycles. I use annual data from 1981 to 2007 oncrimes and match it with electoral competition data from the parliamentary elections in India during the period controlling for poverty and inequality, both known to be correlated with crimes. Using a difference-in-differences design, I find thatelection years have significantly higher incidence of violent crimes. This effectis magnified in districts that had tight winning margins in the previous electionrounds. The placebo regressions using economic and property crimes show a declineor no-effect due to the election years. The second chapter looks at public and private efforts in averting morbidityin urban slums. This chapter investigates how public efforts to tackle the problemof flies and mosquitoes have enhanced the protection of the slum householdsin India against hygiene related diseases. I also study how slum households varytheir private efforts in averting such diseases. I use data from the 2012 wave of the National Sample Survey (NSS) on Housing Conditions. I find that, as the level ofpublic investment in vector control programs rises, the likelihood of both stomachdisorders and malaria declines among slum resident households rather than comparablenon-slum households. Thus, this work suggests that social policy tryingto alleviate the stresses and strains from urbanization should specifically considervector control programs and programs to improve hygiene. Not only do thesehave a direct impact on health that is stronger in slum resident households, it also provides coverage to households who are less likely to invest in private efforts todisrupt disease transmission channels. The study use propensity scores to addressthe problems of

confounding and find significant treatment effects for such publicprograms. In the third chapter, I explore the effect of political competition on provision of public goods in urban areas. This becomes one of the first attempts to look at thedeterminants of provision of a different set of public goods e.g. public health infrastructure, public water and sanitation and electrification unlike the village-levelamenities explored at length in earlier studies. I test the hypothesis whether constituencies that are more actively pursued electorally have a different allocation of urban public goods compared to those that are considered politically safe. I findthat for urban public goods, the effects of political competition on provision of public goods is most salient for schools and to lesser extent for civic amenities. Ifind that competition is not important for the level of healthcare services. Observing the patterns emerging from the results, I conjecture that politicians use publicgood provision as campaign tool to influence voter's decisions. But resources are limited, so as to expect that they will provide all public goods is erroneous. Ifthey are strategic, then I would expect them to allocate more funds to the goodsthat are more often on the political agenda. The results show this selection where I see politicians choosing largely secondary education, electricity at the cost ofhospitals and water.